

REMARKS

The Applicants sincerely appreciate the thorough examination of the present application as evidenced by the Final Office Action of May 2, 2007. In particular, the Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that Claims 4-7 and 12 are allowed and that Claims 10-11, 15, and 18 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. In response, the Applicants have amended Claim 1 to include all recitations of Claim 10 (indicated allowable); canceled Claim 10; amended Claim 11 to depend from Claim 1; rewritten Claims 9 and 14 in independent form; and amended Claim 16 to include all recitations of Claim 18 (indicated allowable); and canceled Claim 18.

Accordingly, Claims 1-8, 11-13, and 16-17 are in a condition that has been indicated to be allowable. In the following remarks, the Applicants will show that 9 and 14 are patentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,067,051 to *Terk et al.* Because dependent Claim 15 (indicated allowable) depends from Claim 14 which is patentable for the reasons discussed below, dependent Claim 15 has not been rewritten in independent form.

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully submit that all claims are patentable, and a Notice Of Allowance is respectfully requested in due course. In the alternative, the Applicants request entry of this amendment as narrowing issues for further consideration on appeal. No new issues have been raised because the amendments have been: to amend independent Claims 1 and 16 to include all recitations of respective allowable dependent Claims 10 and 18; to rewrite dependent Claims 9 and 14 in independent form; to cancel Claims 10 and 18; and to amend the dependency of dependent Claim 11.

Claim 9 Is Patentable Over Terk

Claim 9 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Terk*. Claim 9, however, is patentable over *Terk* for at least the reasons discussed below. In particular, Claim 9 recites wireless communication device comprising:

a loop antenna element including,
a first section provided in and extending a length in a first plane,
a second section spaced from the first section and provided in and extending a length in the first plane, where the second section extends along the same line as the


OK, to exam
78
7/5/07

Attorney Docket No.: 9342-43
Application Serial No.: 10/533,033
Filed: October 24, 2005
Page 11 of 11

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, Applicant submits that the present application is in condition for allowance and the same is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is encourage to telephone the undersigned at 919-854-1400 for resolution of any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,


Scott C. Hatfield
Registration No. 38,176

USPTO Customer No. 54414
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec
Post Office Box 37428
Raleigh, North Carolina 27627
Telephone: 919/854-1400
Facsimile: 919/854-1401

CERTIFICATION OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted electronically to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on June 28, 2007.


Joyce Paoli

OK, to Enter!
TP
7/5/07